

U
R
A
F
T

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L
Approved For Release 2005/08/22 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400320005-0

T/IV/M-5
25 January 1965

U N I T E D S T A T E S I N T E L L I G E N C E B O A R D
C O M M I T T E E O N D O C U M E N T A T I O N

T A S K T E A M I V - I N S T A L L A T I O N S

Minutes of the Fifth Meeting, 18 January 1965

Members or Their Representatives Present

25X1 DIA

-
-

CIA

-

ARMY

-

NAVY

-

NSA

-

AIR FORCE

-

CSS

-

Others Present

25X1

1. The Chairman welcomed whom he had invited 25X1
to participate in the discussion of the "both-sides" paper to be presented
by 25X1 The draft minutes of the preceding meeting were
approved with minor changes. The Chairman then distributed a one-page
paper outlining the work accomplished to date and setting forth the work
yet to be done prior to starting to draft the team report. The paper also

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

Group 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification.

Approved For Release 2005/08/22 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400320005-0

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

- 2 -

requested that each participant bring to the next meeting an indication (a) of the size of each agency's holdings of intelligence on installations, and (b) any problems and costs in implementing any installation identification program on which the task team agrees. A copy of the Chairman's notes is attached for reference. In his summary remarks, the Chairman ~~radiated an air of~~ optimism regarding the early completion of the team's task, especially once the matter of a category code or codes has been agreed upon.

2. In regard to the "both-sides" paper, i.e., alphabetical versus numerical identification of missile installations, [] reported 25X1 that he had completed the first draft, but that further internal coordination was indicated prior to his bringing it to the team for discussion and review. He indicated that the paper should be ready for the next team meeting, and suggested that an interim "blackboard" report of his experience in collecting the data and in drafting the paper might be useful. The team agreed, 25X1 whereupon [] gave a summary of the main arguments pro and con, and of the varied reactions he encountered from analysts and other users. During the ensuing discussion, the Chairman reported that he had initiated the drafting and review of a DIA paper aimed at consolidating the two present methods of identifying missile installations. He added that working level coordination of this paper had been accomplished and that it was being forwarded to the higher DIA levels for review. The Chairman expressed the view that the climate for eventually reaching a resolution between these two installation **identification** systems was better than it had been for some time. It was agreed to defer further discussions pending receipt of the "both-sides" paper by [] 25X1

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

- 3 -

3. The coding problem was explored at some length. A clear distinction was made between a code for documents such as the ISC, and a code for specific kinds of information, such as the BE. There was also considerable discussion of the possible interface relations between the coding system for the installation identification information format and the various schemes being explored by other CODIB Task Teams to place documents or their contents under degrees of control. It was agreed that the primary responsibility of the team is to complete an agreed-upon format design which meets the needs of the installation identification problem, and that the most appropriate and effective code for this purpose should be selected. It was the consensus that any authoritative review of the coding interface aspects should take place after the installation identification format has been completed and forwarded.

4. It was agreed that the next meeting should take place at 1000, 1 February 1965, in the Langley building. [] of the CODIB 25X1 Support Staff will notify all participants as to the conference room. The Chairman expressed confidence that initial report drafting could be undertaken following the examination of [] "both-sides" paper, and following 25X1 the determination of the most effective and appropriate code for the installations identification information format.

for []
Secretary

25X1

NOTES FOR THE FIFTH MEETING
OF
TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS

1. Our first order of business is the minutes of the meeting we held on 21 December 1964.

2. At the last meeting we asked [] to prepare a "both sides" 25X1 paper on the two different systems currently in use for the designation of ICBM sites, and if we have the paper available now, I think we should discuss it and reach a conclusion; and if our good secretary has obtained CODIB guidance on the procedural point involved, we should relay our conclusions through CODIB to USIB.

25X1 3. Our committee has reached agreement on our terms of reference, on the use of the DIA Point Reference Guide Book, on UTM and geographic grid coordinates (and sources from which derived), on the use of BGN-approved place names, on the use of WAC and B.E. numbers, and on the use of the DoD two-letter country code. We have agreed that a category code or codes not yet specified should be dealt with, and I believe that it is appropriate to discuss this matter at this time. At the same time, our discussion should include the matter of the five coding systems currently in use for electronic emitters. I have discussed the work of our Task Team with [] head of the Information Processing and Display Division, Joint Command and Control Requirements Group.
25X1 [] is very much interested, plans to attend some of our meetings, and will be happy to coordinate on the Task Team's report.

4. When we have reached agreement on the matter of a category code or codes, I believe we will be close to the end of the beginning of our Team's work and can commence the writing of a report, finalizing conclusions and formalizing recommendations. It would be helpful if all agencies involved would provide the Task Team with:

a. An indication of the size of their holdings of intelligence on installations in terms of numbers of installations involved; and

b. Indicating any problems and costs involved in the implementation of essential installation identification elements upon which the Task Team agrees.

[]

25X1

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

Group 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification.